## Case 3:13-cr-00653-SI Document 4 Filed 11/01/13 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. CR (3-653 5)
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ched Mc Lane Defendant.	NOV 0 1 2013  RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT  on 1 , 2013, the Court excludes time under the
Defendant.	) WIEKING
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on	
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
or law, that it is unreasonable to expe	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of de	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case comm See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	. 1
DATED: 11/13 STIPULATED:	JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY United States Magistrate Judge
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney